

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/822,358	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHAJII ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Rudy Zervigon	<b>Art Unit</b> 1792	

**All Participants:**

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(2) G. Matthew McCloskey.

**Date of Interview:** 18 September 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Status of Application:** Examiner's Amendment

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 14:00

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*All pending*

**Claims discussed:**

*1,2,21,23*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*USPat. 5865205, US 7335396 B2*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. McCloskey agreed to the Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rudy Zervigon/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)